Effects of Regular Follow-up on Quality of Life and Warfarin Efficiency in Rural Patients
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ABSTRACT
Objective: This study aims to evaluate the effects of regular follow-up and education on warfarin efficiency, satisfaction, and the quality of life in patients from rural areas.

Methods: A total of 133 patients from rural areas taking warfarin were followed up for the mean of 17.4±0.5 months (mean age 58±13 years, 72.9% female). All patients were educated and followed up by a single cardiologist prospectively. A list and an illustrated booklet presenting foods that interact with warfarin and a follow-up chart were prepared for each patient. An illustrated scheme of pills that were to be taken every day was prepared for illiterate patients. The international normalized ratio (INR) values during and 1 year before the study were recorded from the hospital system, and the time in therapeutic range (TTR) was calculated. The Duke Anticoagulation Satisfaction Scale was conducted at the start and end of the study to determine patients' satisfaction with warfarin use. Furthermore, the Medical Outcomes Study Form 36 (SF-36) was used for determining the health-related quality of life (HRQoL).

Results: A total of 45.9% of patients were illiterate, and 33.8% were primary school graduates. The median TTR during the follow-up increased significantly compared with the previous year [40.0 (IQR 36.5) vs. 62.1 (IQR 29.3); p<0.001]. Furthermore, the number of patients with TTR>70% increased significantly (36.8% vs. 21.1%, respectively; p<0.001). Unfortunately, patients' HRQoL and satisfaction with warfarin use were found to have deteriorated significantly compared to the basal levels.

Conclusion: We found that the efficiency of warfarin increased significantly, but interestingly, HRQoL and the satisfaction with warfarin use deteriorated significantly after regular education and follow-up in patients from rural areas.
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INTRODUCTION
Warfarin is an efficient oral anticoagulant used to prevent thromboembolic events in patients with atrial fibrillation (AF) or a mechanical heart valve (MHV). The efficacy and safety of warfarin strongly depend on the anticoagulation intensity measured in terms of the international normalized ratio (INR). For achieving a high-quality, safe, and minimally complicated treatment, the time in therapeutic range (TTR) is targeted at >70 (1). Many studies have shown that TTR levels differ greatly from the desired levels in the Turkish population (2-4). Çelik et al. (4) showed that only 55% of patients taking warfarin were aware of food–drug interactions. Other studies reported that the elderly and patients with a lower education level were likelier to have lower awareness of warfarin treatment, possibly because they faced difficulties in understanding educational materials and communicating with healthcare providers (5). Therefore, the educational materials and their content, duration, and frequency of education should be selected according to the target patient population. Frequent INR controls, long waits at hospitals, dietary limitations, and bleeding-related concerns reduce the health-related quality of life (HRQoL) of patients taking warfarin (6). Two basic approaches are used for measuring the HRQoL of patients receiving anticoagulants: generic and condition specific. Ideally, both approaches should be used for evaluating patients' quality of life (7).

This study aimed to evaluate the effects of a regular follow-up and education on warfarin efficiency, satisfaction, and the quality of life in patients from rural areas.

METHODS
This prospective, observational study was performed in a secondary-level hospital in a rural region of Turkey (Nizip State Hospital, Gaziantep) from January 2016 to June 2017. It was approved by the Gaziantep University ethical committee (30.05.2016/180), following which recruitment started in January 2016. All patients who gave their verbal or written consent to participate in the study were recruited. All patients were educated and followed up by a single cardiologist during the study. Patients who agreed to participate and were recruited had been taking warfarin for...
any reason for at least 1 year and were regularly followed up in the same hospital (n=137). The inclusion criteria were age ≥18 years, volunteering to participate in the study, and being followed up at the same hospital for at least 1 year. The single exclusion criterion was the gap of more than 59 days between two prospective follow-ups. Hypertension (HT) was defined as repeated blood pressure measurement >140/90 mmHg or chronic treatment with antihypertensive medications. Diabetes mellitus (DM) was defined as a previous diagnosis and/or fasting blood glucose >126 mg/dL or the use of antidiabetic medications. Hyperlipidemia was defined as low-density lipoprotein cholesterol (LDL-C) >200 mg/dL or the use of lipid-lowering medications (8). Cigarette smoking was defined as smoking ≥1 cigarette a day for at least 1 year without any attempts at quitting. Ischemic heart disease was defined as having previous percutaneous transluminal coronary angioplasty and/or stenting, coronary bypass grafting, or stable coronary artery disease. All patients were informed in detail on initial visit about why they were taking warfarin, how they would take it, how doses would be adjusted, and what the frequency of follow-up visits was. All patients were also informed about food–drug interactions. A list of frequently consumed local foods that interacted with warfarin was given to all patients, and a booklet with pictures of these foods was provided to illiterate patients. A follow-up chart was prepared for all patients, and the daily number of warfarin pills, INR values, and next visit details were recorded. For illiterate patients, the daily numbers of warfarin pills were illustrated for each day of the week. The therapeutic range in patients with warfarin for AF or aortic valve replacement was considered as the INR levels of 2.0–3.0. For patients with the mitral valve or two-valve (mitral and aortic) replacement, the INR levels were considered as 2.5–3.5. All INR levels during the study and 1-year period prior to the study were recorded from the hospital laboratory database, and the TTR was calculated using Rosendaal’s algorithm (9). The annual INR after the follow-up was calculated as (all INR levels in follow-up × 12)/(duration of study in months). All adverse events during the study period were recorded. Ischemic stroke was defined as neurologist-confirmed symptomatic ischemic cerebral infarction with an apparent brain lesion in imaging studies. Transient ischemic attack was defined as a neurologist-confirmed transient episode of neurologic dysfunction without a brain lesion in imaging studies. Major bleeding was defined as symptomatic bleeding in a critical organ, transfusion of two or more units of blood, or decrease in the hemoglobin level of at least 2 g/L. All other bleeding was defined as minor bleeding.

Questionnaires

Two questionnaires were administered to all patients to evaluate their HRQoL and satisfaction with warfarin use at the start and end of the study. The Duke Anticoagulation Satisfaction Scale (DASS) questionnaire was used to assess the satisfaction with warfarin use (10). Furthermore, the Medical Outcomes Study Form 36 (SF-36) questionnaire was used to assess HRQoL (11). The validity and reliability of the Turkish versions of both scales have been reported previously (12, 13). SF-36 comprises eight subscales that reflect both physical health (physical functioning, role-physical, bodily pain, and general health) and mental health (vitality, social functioning, role emotional, and mental function-ing). The scores range from 0 to 100, where higher scores indicate better functions (11). The DASS scale includes 25 questions. The pattern of the questions is arranged to roughly correspond to three possible dimensions pertaining to anticoagulation: limitations (e.g., fear of bleeding, dietary restrictions); displeasure and burden (regular return to medical visit and wait for blood test results), and positive psychological impact. Patients respond to these questions on a 7-point Likert scale. Lower scores indicate higher satisfaction. The overall score varies from 25 to 175. The instrument is divided in three domains: limitations (score from 9 to 63), displeasure and burden (score from 8 to 56), and psychological impact (score from 8 to 56). The subscales were analyzed by adding the answers to the items (varying from 1 to 7 for each item) in each domain, and the total score was obtained by adding up all questionnaire items.

Statistical Analysis

The variables were investigated using the Kolmogorov–Smirnov test to determine whether they were normally distributed. The mean and standard deviations of normally distributed variables and the IQR of non-normally distributed variables were calculated. The TTR values and questionnaire results (DASS and SF-36) computed at the start and end of the study were compared using the paired sample t-test and Wilcoxon test. The proportions of patients with TTR values >70% at the start and end of the study were presented as a percentage, and the change was compared using the McNemar test. A p-value <0.05 was considered to indicate a statistically significant result. All data were analyzed using the Statistical Package for the Social Sciences Version 22.0 (SPSS IBM Corp.; Armonk, NY, USA) software for Windows.

RESULTS

A total of 137 patients were recruited for the study. Four patients with irregular follow-up were excluded; the remaining 133 patients (72.9% female, mean age 58.1±12 years) were followed up for a mean of 17.4±0.5 months. Table 1 summarizes the demographic characteristics of patients. The primary indication of warfarin use was MHV and AF (72.9% and 27.1%, respectively). The majority of the study population was either illiterate or had graduated from primary school (45.9% and 33.8%, respectively). The median duration for which patients had been taking warfarin was 7.0 (IQR 6) years, and 60.2% of patients had been taking warfarin for >5 years. The most frequent comorbidities were HT, heart failure, ischemic heart disease, and DM. Approximately 22.5% (n=30) of patients had a previous history of bleeding (40% [n=12] had history of major bleeding), and 9.0% (n=12) of patients had a history of ischemic events (8 and 4 had history of transient ischemic attack and ischemic stroke, respectively). The median TTR levels during the follow-up increased significantly compared with those in the previous year (40.0 [IQR 36.5] vs. 62.1 [IQR 29.3]; p <0.001) (Figure 1). Moreover, the ratio of patients with TTR levels ≥70% increased significantly (21.1% vs. 36.8%; p<0.001) at the end of the study (Table 2). The basal demographic and clinical characteristics of patients who with TTR ≥70% and TTR <70% at the end of the study are summarized at Table 1. There were no significant differences between the two groups, expect the median time of warfarin use and history of all bleedings. The ratio of all bleeding was significantly higher.
in patients with TTR<70, and median time of warfarin use was significantly higher in patients with TTR≥70%. The median annual INR in the follow-up also increased significantly (11.7±2.8 vs. 8.8±4.0; p<0.001). During the follow-up, 17 (12.8%) patients suffered bleeding events (5 suffered major bleeding), 2 patients had transient ischemic attack, and 1 had ischemic stroke. No deaths occurred during the follow-up. Table 3 shows the difference between the DASS scores at the start and end of the study. The median of the DASS score and its subscales that were evaluated separately increased significantly at the end of the study (p<0.001 for all) (Table 3). Moreover, there were no significant differences between patients with TTR≥70 and TTR<70 in terms of both the DASS score and SF-36 subscales.

**DISCUSSION**

The present study provided two major results. First, the TTR level of patients from rural areas who were uneducated or had a low education level were significantly low; however, they improved dramatically after regular education with appropriate materials and follow-up. Second, patients’ satisfaction with warfarin use and HRQoL unfortunately worsened significantly after regular education and follow-up.

Previous studies showed that the mean TTR level was low in the Turkish population. In the AFTER study (epidemiology of AF in Turkey), only 41.3% of patients showed efficient INR levels (3). Turk et al. (2) conducted a multicenter prospective study and found that the mean TTR level was 42.3±18%. Similarly, the WARFARIN-TR (Awareness, Efficacy, Safety, and Time in Therapeutic Range of Warfarin in the Turkish population) study reported that the 1-year average TTR level was 49.5±22.9% (4). Furthermore, a subgroup analysis of the WARFARIN-TR study showed that the mean TTR levels differed significantly across different geographical regions of Turkey (14). In this analysis, the mean TTR level in the Southeast-
ern Anatolia region was found to be 44.3±23.5%; this was significantly lower than the mean for Turkey. Similarly, our study in the Southeastern Anatolia region of Turkey reported low TTR levels (38.7±22.0) for patients in the period before the study. However, after regular education and follow-up, patients’ TTR levels improved significantly. Moreover, the number patients with TTR ≥70 increased significantly. The INR monitoring can be performed in hospitals, general outpatient clinics, and specialized INR outpatient clinics, as well as through self-monitoring (15–18). The highest TTR can be achieved through self-monitoring; however, the most significant limitations in this regard are the patient’s compatibility, ability to use necessary medical devices, and awareness of required drug dose to be set (17, 19). Self-monitoring was not applicable for our patients because most of them were illiterate or were at most primary school graduates. However, we showed that the TTR level could be improved significantly through a regular follow-up at, for example, specialized INR outpatient clinics. The main difficulties faced in warfarin use are frequent food–drug interactions and the need for lifetime use. Moreover, frequent hospital visits, long waits at hospitals, and bleeding-related worries are among the primary difficulties faced in warfarin use that affect patients’ quality of life and satisfaction (20–22). Although there are methodological differences between studies, long-term warfarin use was found to have significant and negative effects on the quality of life (23, 24). Previous studies revealed that the mean DASS scores were different among populations (7, 25). The mean of the scale for the Turkish population (85.0±25.1) was found to be higher than those of the original scale scores (55.0±17.6) and the scores determined in the Brazilian–Portugal validation (57.9±16.5) (7, 12, 25). This result shows that the treatment satisfaction of Turkish patients is lower than that of other populations, and they perceive more problems. Our results show that although the mean DASS scores were different among populations (7, 25). The mean of the scale for the Turkish population (85.0±25.1) was found to be higher than those of the original scale scores (55.0±17.6) and the scores determined in the Brazilian–Portugal validation (57.9±16.5) (7, 12, 25). This result shows that the treatment satisfaction of Turkish patients is lower than that of other populations, and they perceive more problems. Our results show that although the mean DASS scores were different among populations (7, 25). The mean of the scale for the Turkish population (85.0±25.1) was found to be higher than those of the original scale scores (55.0±17.6) and the scores determined in the Brazilian–Portugal validation (57.9±16.5) (7, 12, 25). This result shows that the treatment satisfaction of Turkish patients is lower than that of other populations, and they perceive more problems. Our results show that although the mean DASS scores were different among populations (7, 25). The mean of the scale for the Turkish population (85.0±25.1) was found to be higher than those of the original scale scores (55.0±17.6) and the scores determined in the Brazilian–Portugal validation (57.9±16.5) (7, 12, 25). This result shows that the treatment satisfaction of Turkish patients is lower than that of other populations, and they perceive more problems.

### Table 3. Comparison of Duke Anticoagulation Satisfaction Scale and Medical Outcomes Study Form 36 Pre- and Post-study and Between TTR≥70% and TTR<70%

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>Pre-study Median (IQR)</th>
<th>Post-study Median (IQR)</th>
<th>p*</th>
<th>TTR≥70% (n=49)</th>
<th>TTR&lt;70% (n=84)</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>DASS</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total, mean±SD</td>
<td>82.7±18.1</td>
<td>135.2±15.6</td>
<td>&lt;0.001¶</td>
<td>135.4±8.5</td>
<td>137.1±11.1</td>
<td>0.349</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Limitations</td>
<td>31 (48)</td>
<td>52 (21)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>52.5±4.3</td>
<td>52.2±4.4</td>
<td>0.557</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Displeasure and burden</td>
<td>30 (47)</td>
<td>49 (45)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>48.8±3.9</td>
<td>49.6±7.1</td>
<td>0.464</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Positive psychological impact</td>
<td>24 (22)</td>
<td>35 (18)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>34.5±3.2</td>
<td>34.9±3.4</td>
<td>0.451</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>SF-36</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Role physical</td>
<td>32 (26)</td>
<td>26 (23)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>25 (30)</td>
<td>26 (30)</td>
<td>0.771</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physical functioning</td>
<td>65 (30)</td>
<td>50 (30)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>54 (22)</td>
<td>48 (16)</td>
<td>0.080</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bodily pain</td>
<td>67.5 (54)</td>
<td>57.5 (35)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>55 (42)</td>
<td>60 (40)</td>
<td>0.177</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General health</td>
<td>35 (25)</td>
<td>25 (50)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>25 (50)</td>
<td>25 (80)</td>
<td>0.158</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social functioning</td>
<td>62.5 (50)</td>
<td>50 (50)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>50 (37.5)</td>
<td>50 (25)</td>
<td>0.721</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vitality</td>
<td>45 (35)</td>
<td>35 (25)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>37.5 (20)</td>
<td>35 (25)</td>
<td>0.585</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Role emotional</td>
<td>35.1 (33)</td>
<td>20 (33)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>20 (30)</td>
<td>20 (25)</td>
<td>0.897</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mental functioning</td>
<td>58 (49)</td>
<td>44 (35)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>46 (25)</td>
<td>43 (30)</td>
<td>0.786</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

DASS: Comparison of Duke Anticoagulation Satisfaction Scale; IQR: interquartile range; SD: standard deviation; SF-36: Medical Outcomes Study Form 36
* Paired samples t-test; ¶ Wilcoxon test

---

![Figure 1. Pre- and Post-study Median Level of Time in Therapeutic Range (TTR) for Each Participant](image-url)
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CONCLUSION

The main limitations of our study are its single-center design, a low number of patients, and short follow-up. In addition, the pre-study INR measurements were obtained from hospital records, and we cannot exclude the possibility of other measurements at another facility. Another limitation is that because most patients were uneducated or had a low education level, they may have responded incorrectly to the questionnaires.
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