

## The Influence of Referees on Romeo and Juliet

Hasan Baris Ilgaz 

Department of Anatomy, Faculty of Medicine, Hacettepe University, Ankara, Türkiye

Received: 2024-04-17

Accepted: 2024-05-12

Published Online: 2024-05-14

### Corresponding Author

Hasan Barış Ilgaz

**Address:** Hacettepe University Faculty of  
Medicine, 06230 Sıhhiye,  
Ankara, Türkiye

**E-mail:** [hasanbilgaz@hacettepe.edu.tr](mailto:hasanbilgaz@hacettepe.edu.tr)

*Dear Editors,*

It is a common situation, especially for young researchers, to receive decision letters from a journal office saying: “Major revision required - No guarantee of acceptance” or “We regret to reject.” It is said that experiencing repeated major revisions and even rejections make a researcher more mature, but what is a young researcher to do when even Dr Hwang is badly affected by this situation? [1] Then I read Kundakçı’s letter [2] and became even more pessimistic. I worried what if the referees turned into artificial intelligence and even more difficult times awaited the writers. So, I decided to write this letter, thinking that it might be interesting to discuss ideas on the subject with the metaphor of Romeo and Juliet. We all know William Shakespeare’s magnificent work Romeo and Juliet [3]. In this work, Romeo and Juliet, who fell in love with each other, failed to be patient in their plans and could not come together until they died. And a great debate has been going on for centuries: What makes their love interesting and unforgettable for all humanity is the greatness of their love or the fact that they could never unite?

In fact, there is a metaphorical relationship between referees and “Romeo and Juliet”. Could it be that what determines our love for science and our desire to write scientific articles and makes it unique is that we cannot achieve success as a result of the comments of the referees? Or is it the magnitude of our love for writing that drives us to our enthusiasm for writing?

Generally, it is not taught how to do peer review for scientific journals. If people have not made a special effort to learn, they learn the role of the referee from their own experiences or from studies previously published in the journal. This is actually a situation that may cause some problems in the standardization of the work done. Since the editors will reach a decision based on the recommendations of the referees, the qualifications of the referees and their scientific article evaluation behavior patterns become decisive in this regard.

Since referees are also writers and are not free from all the prejudices of the age, they must act independently of all positive or negative influences when evaluating the manuscripts [4, 5]. The publishing policies of journals (double blind or single blind, etc.) supporting this independence



is the way to prevent this great love from disappearing and not growing further with new separations.

In the story of Romeo and Juliette we see Count Paris who tries to separate them and wants to marry Juliette, and Friar Laurence who is the wise adviser and tries to reunite them. As writers, editors or referees, we scientists perhaps have two options: to hinder this love of science, like Count Paris, or to advance the love of science, like Friar Laurence. Or in other words “*to be or not to be*” a scientist, that is the question.

Kind Regards

**Acknowledgement:** The manuscript is an original work, has not been published previously either in whole or in part, except in abstract form, and is not under consideration for publication by any other journal. All authors have disclosed any potential competing financial interests regarding the submitted article.

**Conflict of Interest:** The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest. There are no figures, tables, questionnaires in both print and electronic form that any copyright holder have.

**Authors Contributon:** All authors have read and accept responsibility for the manuscript’s contents.

**Funding:** No funding was received to assist with the preparation of this manuscript.

## REFERENCES

- [1] Hwang K (2021) You Will Be a Researcher, My Student! J Craniofac Surg 32:457. <https://doi.org/10.1097/SCS.0000000000005319>
- [2] Kundakçı YE (2023) ChatGPT’s Capabilities for Use in Anatomy Education and Anatomy Research. Eur J Ther 30:200-202. <https://doi.org/10.58600/eurjther1842>
- [3] Shakespeare W (2019) Romeo and juliet. One-Hour Shakespeare. Routledge, pp 304-368.
- [4] Fox CW, Meyer J, Aimé E (2023) Double-blind peer review affects reviewer ratings and editor decisions at an ecology journal. Functional Ecology 37:1144-1157. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1365-2435.14259>
- [5] Tennant JP, Ross-Hellauer T (2020) The limitations to our understanding of peer review. Res Integr Peer Rev 5:6. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s41073-020-00092-1>

### *How to Cite;*

Ilgaz HB (2024) The Influence of Referees on Romeo and Juliet. Eur J Ther. 30(5):770-771. <https://doi.org/10.58600/eurjther2146>